

Kervaire–Murthy conjecture, Ullom’s inequality and the Iwasawa numbers

A. Stolin

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Chalmers University of Technology and
University of Gothenburg
Gothenburg, Sweden

E-mail: alexander.stolin@gu.se

1 Introduction

Let C_n denote the cyclic group of order p^n , where p is an odd prime. Let $\mathbb{Z}C_n$ be the integral group ring of C_n .

In this paper we study $\text{Pic } \mathbb{Z}C_n$ and some other groups related to it, in particular, the ideal class group $C(F_n)$ of the cyclotomic field $F_n = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$, where ζ_n is a primitive p^{n+1} -st root of unity.

Throughout this paper we assume that p is semi-regular, that is p does not divide the order of the ideal class group of the maximal real subfield $F_0^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_0 + \zeta_0^{-1})$ in F_0 . Let A be an abelian group. The following notation will be used in our paper:

$N \cdot A$ is the direct sum of N copies of A ;

dA or A^d (depending on additive or multiplicative operation on A) stands for the subgroup of A which consists of the elements of the form da or a^d ;

$A^{(d)}$ stands for the subgroup of A which consists of the elements of A such that $da = 0$ or $a^d = 1$;

$A_{(p)}$ denotes the Sylow p -component of A . For $A = C(F_n)$ we use a special notation $C(F_n)_{(p)} = S(F_n)$.

If R is a commutative ring, then $U(R)$ denotes the group of units of R . In the special case $R = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$, we use E_n for $U(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n])$. Further, we use notation $E_{n,k}$ for the subgroup of E_n consisting of units which are congruent to 1 modulo $\mu_n^k = (1 - \zeta_n)^k$.

Following [3] let us consider the fibre product diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}C_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{i_2} & \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] \\ \downarrow i_1 & & \downarrow j_2 \\ \mathbb{Z}C_n & \xrightarrow{j_1} & \frac{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}{(x-1)^{p^n}} := R_n \end{array}$$

with obvious maps i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2 . The corresponding Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence can be written as follows:

$$U(\mathbb{Z}C_n) \times E_n \xrightarrow{j} U(R_n) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathbb{Z}C_{n+1}) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathbb{Z}C_n) \times C(F_n) \longrightarrow 0.$$

One of the main problems in computing $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{Z}C_{n+1})$ is thus to evaluate the co-kernel V_n of the map $j : U(\mathbb{Z}C_n) \times E_n \longrightarrow U(R_n)$

Instead of V_n we will evaluate a bigger group

$$\mathcal{V}_n = \text{Coker}\{j_2 : E_n \longrightarrow U(R_n)\}.$$

Clearly, V_n is a factorgroup of $\mathcal{V}_n$.

In the calculation of $\mathcal{V}_n$ a decisive role will be played by the action $G_n = \text{Gal}(F_n/\mathbb{Q})$ on the various rings involved in the paper. Let $\delta : G_n \longrightarrow U(\mathbb{Z}/p^{n+1}\mathbb{Z})$ be the canonical isomorphism defined by $s(\zeta_n) = \zeta_n^{\delta(s)}$, $s \in G_n$. We will denote by x_n the generator in $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^{p^n}-1) = \mathbb{Z}C_n$ and in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x-1)^{p^n} = R_n$ that corresponds to x . Since $\delta(s)$ is an integer modulo p^{n+1} , prime to p , it is clear that both $x_{n+1}^{\delta(s)}$ and $x_n^{\delta(s)}$ are well-defined. Moreover, the maps in the fibre product above commute with the action of G_n . Let $c \in G_n$ be the complex conjugation. It is clear that $\mathcal{V}_n = \mathcal{V}_n^+ \times \mathcal{V}_n^-$, where $\mathcal{V}_n^+$ consists of elements such that $c(a) = a$ and $\mathcal{V}_n^-$ consists of elements such that $c(a) = a^{-1}$ (we take into account that $\mathcal{V}_n$ is a p -group). Similarly, $V_n = V_n^+ \times V_n^-$. For any abelian group A , let us denote by A^* the group of characters of A .

The main results proved by Kervaire and Murthy in [3] was

Theorem 1.1. *If p is a semi-regular odd prime, then*

$$V_n^- \cong \mathcal{V}_n^- \cong U(R_n)/(x_n \cdot U_n^+)$$

and

$$(V_n^+)^* \subseteq (\mathcal{V}_n^+)^* \subseteq S^-(F_{n-1}) = S(F_{n-1}) =: S_{n-1}.$$

They also conjectured that, in fact, $V_n^+ \cong \mathcal{V}_n^+ \cong S_{n-1}^*$. The first main result of our paper is a weak version of the Kervaire and Murthy conjecture, namely

$$(S_{n-1})_{(p)} \cong (\mathcal{V}_n^+ / (\mathcal{V}_n^+)^p)^* = ((\mathcal{V}_n^+)^*)_{(p)}$$

Working on that conjecture, Ullom proved in [8] that under certain assumptions on the Iwasawa numbers λ_i explained later, the group V_n^+ can be described as follows:

$$V_n^+ \cong r_0 \cdot (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\lambda - r_0) \cdot (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-1}\mathbb{Z}).$$

Here

$$r_0 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(S_0)_{(p)} = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(S_0/S_0^p), \quad \lambda = \sum \lambda_i.$$

Notice that r_0 also coincides with the number of Bernoulli numbers among $B_2, B_4, \dots, B_{p-3}$ which are divisible by p . The Iwasawa invariant λ can be defined as follows. It is well-known due to Iwasawa and Washington (see [9]) that there exist two numbers λ and ν called Iwasawa invariants such that S_n has $p^{\lambda n + \nu}$ elements for sufficiently large n .

Ullom's proof is based on certain assumptions about the Iwasawa number λ . More exactly,

$$G_0 = \text{Gal}(F_0/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$$

acts on S_n and

$$S_n = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-2} S_{n,i},$$

where $S_{n,i} = \varepsilon_i S_n$ and ε_i are idempotents in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_0]$. Since we work with semi-regular p ,

$$\varepsilon_i S_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_p/B_{1,\omega^{-i}}\mathbb{Z}_p \quad \text{for } i = 3, 5, \dots, p-2.$$

Here $B_{1,\omega^{-i}}$ are generalized Bernoulli numbers and ω is the Teichmüller character of $\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$ (see [9]).

Furthermore, for each i there exist λ_i and ν_i such that $S_{n,i}$ contains $p^{\lambda_i n + \nu_i}$ elements. Ullom's assumption was that $\lambda_i < p-1$ and he conjectured that it was true for any p . The second main goal of the present paper is to prove that $\lambda_i \leq p-1$. Furthermore, it is well-known that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(S_0/S_0^p) = r_0$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(S_n/S_n^p) \leq \lambda$. We will prove that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(S_n/S_n^p) = \lambda \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

2 Second presentation of $\mathcal{V}_n$ and norm maps

The following lemma was proved in [5].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $A_n = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(\frac{x^p-1}{x-1})$. Then $\text{Pic } \mathbb{Z}C_n \cong \text{Pic } A_n$*

From now on we will study A_n instead of $\mathbb{Z}C_n$. Clearly, we have the following fibre product:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{i_2} & \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] \\ \downarrow i_1 & & \downarrow j_2 \\ A_n & \xrightarrow{j_1} & \frac{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}{(x-1)^{p^n-1}} := R_n \end{array} \quad (1)$$

Lemma 2.2. $\text{Coker}\{j_2 : \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] \rightarrow U(\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x-1)^{p^n-1})\} \cong \mathcal{V}_n$.

Proof. We have to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Coker}(U(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]) \rightarrow U(\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x-1)^{p^n})) = \\ \text{Coker}(U(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]) \rightarrow U(\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x-1)^{p^n-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, it is sufficient to prove that the element

$$1 + (x-1)^{p^n-1} \in U(\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x-1)^{p^n})$$

is the image of some unit of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$. It is easy to see that

$$j_2 \left(\frac{\zeta_n^{p^n+1} - 1}{\zeta_n - 1} \right) = 1 + (x-1)^{p^n-1},$$

and the proof is complete. $\square$

Remark 2.3. This lemma justifies an abuse of notation j_1, j_2, i_1, i_2, R_n in (1).

The map $N_n : \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] \rightarrow A_n$ such that $N_n(ab) = N_n(a)N_n(b)$ and the diagram below is commutative has been introduced in [6]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{i_2} & \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] \\ \downarrow i_1 & \swarrow N_n & \downarrow j_2 \\ A_n & \xrightarrow{j_1} & R_n \end{array} \quad (2)$$

We would like to remind this construction. The following fibre product diagram can be used for the construction without loss of generality:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/\left(\frac{x^{p^{n+1}}-1}{x-1}\right) & \xrightarrow{i_2} & \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] \\ \downarrow i_1 & & \downarrow j_2 \\ \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/\left(\frac{x^{p^n}-1}{x-1}\right) & \xrightarrow{j_1} & R_n \end{array}$$

We construct N_n using induction. If $n = 1$, then $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/\left(\frac{x^{p^n}-1}{x-1}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_0]$ and N_1 is the usual norm map.

Commutativity of (2) was proved in [5]. The formula

$$\varphi_1(a_1) = (a_1, N_1(a_1)) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(\frac{x^{p^2}-1}{x-1})$$

defines an injective homomorphism $\varphi_1 : U(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_1]) \rightarrow U(\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(\frac{x^{p^2}-1}{x-1}))$. Now we can define $N_2(a_2) = \varphi_1(\text{Norm}_{F_2/F_1}(a_2))$.

Simultaneously, N_2 defines

$$\varphi_2 : U(\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_2]) \rightarrow U(\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(\frac{x^{p^3}-1}{x-1}))$$

via $\varphi_2(a_2) = (a_2, N_2(a_2)) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(\frac{x^{p^3}-1}{x-1})$, and so on.

Proofs that all of the maps φ_i, N_i are well-defined can be found in [6]. They use rings $A_{n,k} = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(\frac{x^{p^{n+k}}-1}{x^k-1})$.

Proposition 2.4. *Formula $\varphi_{n-1}(a_{n-1}) = (a_{n-1}, N_{n-1}(a_{n-1}))$ defines an embedding $E_{n-1} \rightarrow U(\mathbb{Z}[x]/(\frac{x^{p^n}-1}{x-1}))$, and $\text{Coker}\{j_1 : E_{n-1} \rightarrow U(R_n)\} \cong \mathcal{V}_n$.*

Proof. Since we deal with semi-regular primes, the fact we need follows from that of $\text{Norm}_{F_n/F_{n-1}}(E_n) = E_{n-1}$ and thus, $j_2(E_n) = j_1(E_{n-1})$ in $U(R_n)$. $\square$

Let us denote by $U_{n,k}$ the subgroup of $U(\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_n])$ which consists of units congruent to 1 modulo $(\zeta_n - 1)^k = \mu_n^k$, and $U_n := U(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n])$.

Theorem 2.5. *We have*

$$\mathcal{V}_n \cong U_n / (U_{n,p^n-1} \cdot E_n) \cong U_{n-1} / (U_{n-1,p^n-1} \cdot E_{n-1})$$

Remark 2.6. We remind the reader that $\mathcal{V}_n \cong U_n / (U_{n,p^n} \cdot E_n)$ by definition.

Proof. The first isomorphism is clear. Let us prove that $\mathcal{V}_n \cong U_{n-1} / (U_{n-1,p^n-1} \cdot E_{n-1})$. The formula $\varphi_{n-1}(a) = (a, N_{n-1}(a))$ defines an embedding $\varphi_{n-1} : U_{n-1} \rightarrow U(\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(\frac{x^{p^n}-1}{x-1}))$.

It is sufficient to prove that the composition map $\varphi_{n-1} \cdot j_1$ has the kernel U_{n-1,p^n-1} . To do this, first we note that $U(R_n)$ and $U_{n-1}/U_{n-1,p^n-1}$ have the same number of elements. Therefore, it is enough to prove that U_{n-1,p^n-1} is contained in the kernel. This was proved in [6]. We would like to demonstrate the case $n = 2$. For this, we should prove that $(a, \text{Norm}_{F_1/F_0}(a)) \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{p}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(\frac{x^{p^2}-1}{x-1})$ if $a \equiv 1 \pmod{\mu_1^{p^2-1}}$. It is easy to see that $(a, \text{Norm}_{F_1/F_0}(a)) \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{p}$ is equivalent to that of $\text{Norm}_{F_1/F_0}(\frac{a-1}{p}) \equiv \frac{\text{Norm}_{F_1/F_0}(a)-1}{p} \pmod{p}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_0]$. Since $a \equiv 1 \pmod{\mu_1^{p^2-1}}$, both sides are congruent to 0 modulo p . The general case was proved in [6] using the rings $A_{n,k}$ and induction in n, k . $\square$

3 Number of elements in $\mathcal{V}_n^+$

Let us introduce integers r_n as the number of elements in $E_{n,p^{n+1}-1}/E_{n,p^n+1}^p$.

Lemma 3.1. *If $\varepsilon \in E_{n,p^n+1}$, then ε is real and therefore, $E_{n,p^n+1} = E_{n,p^n+1}^+$.*

Theorem 3.2. *Let α be an ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ such that $\alpha^p = (q)$. Let $q \equiv 1 \pmod{\mu_n^{p^{n+1}-1}}$. Then $q \equiv 1 \pmod{\mu_n^{p^{n+1}}}$.*

Before we give a proof of the theorem, let us formulate its consequence, which we will need in sequel.

Corollary 3.3. $E_{n,p^{n+1}-1} = E_{n,p^{n+1}+1}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Consider the extension $F_n(\sqrt[p]{q})/F_n$. Only μ_n ramifies in this extension. Let $\varepsilon \in E_n$. Then for any valuation $v \neq \mu$, ε is a norm in the corresponding extension of local fields $F_{n,v}(\sqrt[p]{q})/F_{n,v}$. Therefore, the local norm residue symbol with values in the group of p -th roots of unity $(\varepsilon, q)_v = 1$. By the product formula, $(\varepsilon, q)_{\mu_n} = 1$. Set $\varepsilon = \zeta_n$. If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{\mu_n^{p^{n-1}}}$ but $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\mu_n^{p^n}}$, then simple local computations (see for instance [1]) show that $(\zeta_n, q)_{\mu_n} \neq 1$. The theorem is proved. $\square$

Theorem 3.4. *The number of elements in $\mathcal{V}_n^+$ is $p^{r_0+\dots+r_{n-1}}$.*

Proof. If $n = 1$, then it was proved in [3]. Let us denote the number of elements in group A by $|A|$. Assume that $|\mathcal{V}_n^+| = p^{r_0+\dots+r_{n-1}}$. Let us prove that $|\mathcal{V}_{n+1}^+| = p^{r_0+\dots+r_{n-1}+r_n}$. Indeed, $|(U_n/(U_{n,p^n} \cdot E))^+| = p^{r_0+\dots+r_{n-1}}$. Clearly, $(U_n/(U_{n,p^n} \cdot E))^+ = U_n^+/(U_{n,p^n}^+ \cdot E^+)$ and $U_{n,p^n}^+ = U_{n,p^n+1}^+$ since p is odd. Taking into account that $\mathcal{V}_{n+1}^+ \cong U_n^+/U_{n,p^{n+1}-1}^+ \cdot E_n^+$, it remains to prove that

$$\left| \frac{U_{n,p^n+1}^+ \cdot E_n^+}{U_{n,p^{n+1}-1}^+ \cdot E_n^+} \right| = p^{r_n}.$$

Let us use the isomorphism

$$\frac{U_{n,k}^+ \cdot E_n^+}{E_n^+} \cong U_{n,k}^+ \cdot E_{n,k}^+,$$

which shows that we have to prove that

$$\left| \frac{U_{n,p^n+1}^+}{U_{n,p^{n+1}-1}^+} \right| : \left| \frac{E_{n,p^n+1}^+}{E_{n,p^{n+1}+1}^+} \right| = p^{r_n}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\left| \frac{U_{n,p^n+1}^+}{U_{n,p^{n+1}+1}^+} \right| = p^{\frac{p^{n+1}-p^n}{2}-1}.$$

The second number can be computed as follows:

$$\left| \frac{E_{n,p^{n+1}+1}^+}{E_{n,p^{n+1}+1}^+} \right| = \left| \frac{E_{n,p^n+1}}{(E_{n,p^n+1})^p} \right| : \left| \frac{E_{n,p^{n+1}+1}}{(E_{n,p^{n+1}+1})^p} \right| = p^{\frac{p^{n+1}-p^n}{2}-1} : p^{r_n}$$

and the theorem is proved. $\square$

Closing this section we would like to mention the following

Proposition 3.5. $r_0 \leq r_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon \in E_{n,p^{n+1}+1}/(E_{n,p^n+1})^p$. Then the extension $F_n(\sqrt[p]{\varepsilon})/F_n$ is unramified, which defines an embedding $E_{n,p^{n+1}+1}/(E_{n,p^n+1})^p$ into S_n^* . It is easy to see that the canonical embedding $S_n^* \rightarrow S_{n+1}^*$ defines an embedding

$$E_{n,p^{n+1}+1}/(E_{n,p^n+1})^p \rightarrow E_{n+1,p^{n+2}+1}/(E_{n,p^{n+1}+1})^p.$$

Therefore, $r_n \leq r_{n+1}$.

Furthermore, because of the projection $S_n^* \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{n+1}^*$ (see [3]) it is clear that $p^{\lambda_n+\nu} \geq p^{r_0+\dots+r_n}$, and the latter inequality implies that $r_n \leq \lambda$. $\square$

In the next paper we will show that for semi-regular primes $r_1 = r_2 = \dots = \lambda$.

4 Weak Kervaire-Murthy Conjecture

In this section let us denote by (a, b) the local norm residue symbol with values in p -th roots of unity. Here (a, b) are elements of the completion of F_n with respect to μ_n . Assume that $a \in U_{n,k} \setminus U_{n,k+1}$, $b \in U_{n,p^{n+1}-k} \setminus U_{n,p^{n+1}-k+1}$, and k is prime to p .

Lemma 4.1 (see [1]). $(a, b) \neq 1$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\alpha \in S_n^{(p)}$ and $\alpha^p = (q)$. Then the formula $f_\alpha(x) = (x, q)$, $x \in \mathcal{V}_{n+1}^+$ defines a non-trivial character of $\mathcal{V}_{n+1}^+$ (if α is not trivial).

Proof. Step 1. If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{\mu_n^{p^{n+1}-1}}$, then $\alpha = 1 \in S_n$.

Indeed, we already know that $q \equiv 1 \pmod{\mu_n^{p^{n+1}}}$ and hence the extension $F_n(\sqrt[p]{q})/F_n$ is non-ramified. Therefore, $q = \varepsilon \cdot a^p$ for some $\varepsilon \in E_n$, $a \in F_n$ and consequently $\alpha = 1$ in S_n .

Step 2. Without loss of generality we can assume that $q \in U_{n,k} \setminus U_{n,k+1}$ with $k < p^{n+1} - 1$ and k being prime to p .

Indeed, if $k = p \cdot s$, then $q = 1 + a_0 \mu_n^{ps} + t \mu_n^{ps+1}$, where a_0 is an integer prime to p . Easy computations show that $q(1 - a_0 \mu_n^s)^p \in U_{n,k+1}$. Proceeding in this way, we can find $q_1 \in U_{n,k_1}$ such that $(q_1) = (\Gamma \alpha)^p$, $\Gamma \in U(F_n)$, and such that k_1 is prime to p .

Step 3. $1 + \mu_n^{p^{n+1}-k} \in \mathcal{V}_{n+1}$.

Indeed, if $1 + \mu_n^{p^{n+1}-k} \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{\mu_n^{p^{n+1}-k}}$, $\varepsilon \in E_n$, then $(\varepsilon, q) = 1$. However, it is not true by Step 2 and Lemma of this section.

Step 4. Since $S_n = S_n^-$, the character constructed above is a non-trivial character of the group $\mathcal{V}_{n+1}^+$. The proof is complete. $\square$

Corollary 4.3. $S_n^{(p)} \cong (\mathcal{V}_{n+1}^+ / (\mathcal{V}_{n+1}^+)^p)^*$.

Corollary 4.4. $S_n^* / (S_n^*)^p \cong \mathcal{V}_{n+1}^+ / (\mathcal{V}_{n+1}^+)^p$.

Proof. This follows from the existence of the surjection $S_n^* \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{n+1}^+$ constructed in [3]. $\square$

5 Consequences of the weak Kervaire-Murthy conjecture

In this section we will use the original definition of $\mathcal{V}_n$, namely $\mathcal{V}_n = \text{Coker}\{j_2 : E_n \rightarrow U(R_n)\} = \text{Coker}\{j_2 : E_n \rightarrow U(\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x-1)^{p^n})\} = U_n / (U_{n,p^n \cdot E_n})$. Clearly, the canonical embedding $i : \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_{n+1}]$ induces a homomorphism $i_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{n+1}$ since $i(U_{n,p^n}) \subset U_{n+1,p^{n+1}}$. One can show that $i_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{n+1}$ is an embedding but in this paper we will not need this fact postponing its proof to the next paper. Further, the norm map $N : \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_{n+1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_n]$ induces a homomorphism $\pi_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_n$ because $N(1 - \zeta_{n+1}) = 1 - \zeta_n$, $N(\zeta_{n+1}) = \zeta_n$ and $N(a + b) \equiv N(a) + N(b) \pmod{p}$ (see [5]). The following statement is straightforward.

Lemma 5.1. $\pi_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_n$ is surjective and $i(\pi(a)) = a^p$.

Proof. $i(\pi(\zeta_{n+1})) = \zeta_n = \zeta_{n+1}^p$ and the statement follows from that of

$$N(a + b) \equiv N(a) + N(b) \pmod{p}.$$

Indeed,

$$N(a_0 + a_1\mu_{n+1} + \dots + a_{p^{n+1}-1}\mu_{n+1}^{p^{n+1}-1}) \equiv a_0 + a_1\mu_n + \dots + a_{p^n-1}\mu_n^{p^n-1} \pmod{\mu_n^{p^n}}$$

and

$$(a_0 + a_1\mu_{n+1} + \dots + a_{p^{n+1}-1}\mu_{n+1}^{p^{n+1}-1})^p = a_0 + a_1\mu_n + \dots + a_{p^n-1}\mu_n^{p^n-1} \pmod{\mu_{n+1}^{p^{n+1}}},$$

where $\mu_{n+1}^p = \mu_n$ in R_{n+1} . $\square$

Remark 5.2. A similar consideration shows that $\pi_{\mathcal{V}}(i_{\mathcal{V}}(b)) = b^p$.

Corollary 5.3. *The induced maps $i_S : S_n^*/(S_n^*)^p \rightarrow S_{n+1}^*/(S_{n+1}^*)^p$ and $i_V : \mathcal{V}_n/\mathcal{V}_n^p \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{n+1}/\mathcal{V}_{n+1}^p$ are zero maps.*

Proof. The projection $S_n^* \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_n^+$ constructed in [3] is a homomorphism of G_n -modules (where $G_n = \text{Gal}(F_n/\mathbb{Q})$). Thus, it is sufficient to prove the second statement because $S_n^*/(S_n^*)^p \cong \mathcal{V}_n^+/\mathcal{V}_n^p$. Further, $\pi_V \cdot \mathcal{V}_{n+1}/\mathcal{V}_{n+1}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_n/\mathcal{V}_n^p$ is surjective and the composition map

$$\mathcal{V}_{n+1}/\mathcal{V}_{n+1}^p \xrightarrow{\pi_V} \mathcal{V}_n/\mathcal{V}_n^p \xrightarrow{i_V} \mathcal{V}_{n+1}/\mathcal{V}_{n+1}^p$$

is zero because $i_V(\pi_V(a)) = a^p$. Therefore $i_V : \mathcal{V}_n/\mathcal{V}_n^p \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{n+1}/\mathcal{V}_{n+1}^p$ is a zero map. $\square$

We remind the reader the following result of [9]:

$$\varepsilon_i S_n = S_{n,i} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]/(P_n(T), f(T, \omega^{1-i})),$$

where $P_n T = (T+1)^{p^n} - 1$ and

$$f(T, \omega^{1-i}) = B_{1,\omega^{-i}} + a_1 T + \dots + a_{\lambda_i} T^{\lambda_i} + \dots$$

Here we assume that p divides $B_{1,\omega^{-i}}$, and p divides $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{\lambda_i}$ while p does not divide a_{λ_i} .

Theorem 5.4. $\lambda_i \leq p-1$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(S_{1,i}/S_{1,i}^p) = \lambda_i$.

Proof. Consider the following diagram $S_{n+1,i}^* \rightarrow S_{n,i}^* \xrightarrow{i_S} S_{n+1,i}^*$. It is well-known from the Iwasawa theory that the first map is surjective and the second, i_S , is injective. Since the induced composition of maps

$$S_{n+1,i}^*/(S_{n+1,i}^*)^p \rightarrow S_{n,i}^*/(S_{n,i}^*)^p \xrightarrow{i_S} S_{n+1,i}^*/(S_{n+1,i}^*)^p$$

is zero, we deduce that the kernel $\text{Ker}(S_{n+1,i}^* \rightarrow S_{n,i}^*)$ contains $(S_{n+1,i}^*)^{(p)} = \{a : a^p = 1\}$. For the group of characters of $S_{n+1,i}^*$, which is $S_{n+1,i}$, this means that the canonical map $S_{n,i}/S_{n,i}^p \rightarrow S_{n+1,i}/S_{n+1,i}^p$ is a zero map.

Let us consider the case $n=0$. Clearly, $S_{0,i}/S_{0,i}^p \cong \mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and $S_{1,i}/S_{1,i}^p \cong \mathbb{F}_p[[T]]/(T^d)$, where $d = \min(p, \lambda_i)$, this follows from the Weierstrass preparation theorem and the fact that $(1+T)^p - 1 \equiv T^p \pmod{p}$. The image of $1 \in S_0$ under the embedding

$$S_{0,i} = \mathbb{Z}_p/(B_{1,\omega^{-i}}) \rightarrow S_{1,i} = \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]/((1+T)^p - 1, f(T, \omega^{1-i}))$$

is well-known: it is equal to

$$1 + (1+T) + \dots + (1+T)^{p-1} = \frac{(1+T)^p - 1}{T} \equiv T^{p-1} \pmod{p}.$$

Hence, $T^{p-1} = 0$ in $\mathbb{F}_p[[T]]/(T^d)$, where $d = \min(p, \lambda_i)$. Therefore, $d = \lambda_i \leq p-1$ and $(S_{1,i}/S_{1,i}^p) \cong \mathbb{F}_p^{\lambda_i}$. $\square$

6 Concluding remarks

The Kervaire and Murthy conjecture has another interesting form. Let us denote by $\mathbb{A}(F_n)$ the ring of adeles of the field F_n . Let w be a valuation of F_n , different from $\mu_n = (1 - \zeta_n)$. Let $\mathbb{Q}_w$ be the completion of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ at w . Let us consider the following subgroup $K_{p^{n+1}-1}$ of $GL(1, \mathbb{A}(F_n))$, namely

$$K_{p^{n+1}-1} = GL(1, \mathbb{Q}) \times U_{n, p^{n+1}-1} \times \prod GL(1, \mathbb{Q}_w).$$

Then the Kervaire and Murthy conjecture can be formulated as

Conjecture 6.1. $(S_n^-)^* \cong (GL(1, F_n) \setminus GL(1, \mathbb{A}(F_n)) / K_{p^{n+1}-1})_{(p)}^+$

However, the conjecture is not true even for $n = 0$ unless the numbers $B_{1, \omega^{-i}}$ are not divisible by p^2 . Let p^{s_i} be the maximal p -power which divides $B_{1, \omega^{-i}}$. In the next paper we will prove that

$$\mathcal{V}_n^+ \cong r_0 \cdot (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\lambda - r_0) \cdot (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$$

and

$$S_{n,i} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n+s_i}\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\lambda_i - 1) \cdot (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}).$$

Therefore, the Kervaire and Murthy conjecture is true if and only if the generalized Bernoulli numbers $B_{1, \omega^{-i}}$ that are divisible by p , are not divisible by p^2 . Finally we note that the results mentioned above imply that the Iwasawa number ν is equal to $\sum_i s_i$.

7 Appendix: Applications to p -adic L -function and Bernoulli numbers

Let $L_p(s, \chi)$ be the Leopoldt-Kubota p -adic L -function, where $p > 2$ satisfies Vandiver's conjecture and $\chi = \omega^{p^{-i}}$, $0 < i < p$.

Let Ω_p be an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and let $\mathfrak{v}_p$ be the p -adic exponential valuation on Ω_p such that $\mathfrak{v}_p(p) = 1$. Finally, let $\mathbb{C}_p$ be the completion of Ω_p with respect to $\mathfrak{v}_p$. Define $D_s \subset \mathbb{C}_p$ as follows:

$$D_s = \{s \in \mathbb{C}_p : \mathfrak{v}_p(s) > -1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\}$$

For any $u \in D_s$ let us define the following operator $\Delta_u L_p(s, \chi) = L_p(s + u, \chi) - L_p(s, \chi)$. Taking into account that we have proved that $\lambda_i \leq p - 1$ and using Theorem 1 of [4], we can conclude that the following result holds:

Theorem 7.1. *Let $u \in \Omega_p \cap D_s$ be such that the ramification index e of the extension $\mathbb{Q}_p(u)/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is less than $p - 1$.*

1. Then there exists a unique $s_0 \in D_s$ such that $\Delta_u^{\lambda_i-1} L_p(s_0, \omega^{p-i}) = 0$. Moreover, $s_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_p(u)$.
2. $\Delta_u^{\lambda_i} L_p(s, \omega^{p-i}) \neq 0$ for all $s \in D_s$.

This theorem can be applied to find some infinite sequences of congruences for the Bernoulli numbers B_m . Let us set $u = p - 1$. Let s_0 be the unique solution of the equation $\Delta_u^{\lambda_i-1} L_p(s_0, \omega^{p-i}) = 0$. It is clear that $s_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ and moreover, since $\mathfrak{v}_p(s_0) > -1 + \frac{1}{p-1} > -1$, we can conclude that $\mathfrak{v}_p(s_0) \geq 0$ and hence, $s_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Consider the following p -adic integer

$$\beta = 1 - \lambda_i + \frac{1 - p + i - s_0}{p - 1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j p^j, \quad 0 \leq b_j < p.$$

Let $r_n(\beta) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_j p^j$. Then

$$s_0 = -\lambda_i(p-1) - \beta(p-1) + 1 - p + i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - t_n - (p-1)(\lambda_{p-i} - 1),$$

where $t_n = p - i + (p-1)r_n(\beta)$. Clearly, the integers t_n tend to infinity as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us denote binomial coefficients by $C_l^m = \frac{l!}{m!(l-m)!}$.

Corollary 7.2.

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\lambda_i-1} C_{\lambda_i-1}^k (-1)^{\lambda_i-1-k} \cdot (1 - p^{t_n-1+k(p-1)}) \cdot \frac{B_{t_n+k(p-1)}}{t_n + k(p-1)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n+\lambda_i}}$$

See [4] for details and applications to generalized Bernoulli numbers.

References

- [1] *Algebraic number theory*. Edited by I.W.S. Cassels and A. Fröhlich, Academic Press, London, 1967.
- [2] O. Helenius, A. Stolin. *Fine structure of class groups and the Kervaire-Murthy conjectures*. Preprint Chalmers University of Technology 2002:58, 2002:64.
- [3] Kervaire, M., Murthy, M.P. *On the projective class group of cyclic groups of prime power order*. Comment. Math. Helv. 52 (1977), no. 3, 415-452.
- [4] T. Metsänkylä. *On the behaviour of the p -adic L -functions*. J. Number Theory, 130(2010), 727-737.
- [5] A. Stolin. *An explicit formula for the Picard group of the cyclic group of order p^2* . Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 121 (1994), no. 2, 375-383.
- [6] A. Stolin. *On the Picard group of the integer group ring of the cyclic p -group and of rings close to it*. Commutative ring theory, 443-455. Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 185, Dekker, New York, 1997.

- [7] A. Stolin. *On the Picard group of the integer group ring of the cyclic p -group and certain Galois groups*. I. J. Number Theory, 72 (1998), no. 1, 48-66.
- [8] S. Ullom. *Class group of cyclotomic fields and group rings*. I. London Math. Soc. (2) 17 (1978), no. 2, 231-239.
- [9] L. Washington. *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Math., 83. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.